Proposed KSC Apollo Program Directive No. 5A

DK

Chief, Program Control Office, DC

Bert Greenglass 867-7380

M. Gasman, DC-3

Concur subject to the following recommended changes:

- 1. Subject, line 2: make "change" plural.
- 2. Purpose, line 1: Change post-flight to post-Flight.
- 3. Par II: Delete subparagraphs A and B. Substitude the following:
  - "A. Any proposed changes that would make essential hardware, software or systems unavailable for required prelaunch and launch operations or that would degrade the required limits of performance of any hardware, software or system."

Renumber Par. C as Par. B.

Reason: Par. IV.B. requires that all changes to be submitted to HA and DA. Such a procedure is combersome and could effectively halt or slow down needed changes and improvements to supporting systems if all changes had to follow this route.

- 4. Par II. C. Lines 1-2: Change to read "...engineering change upon which work has not yet begun and those which cannot be completed and tested prior to launch...".
- 5. Par IV.A: Add the following sentence: "In addition, the ECP will contain a statement of its impact on the conditions in Par II.A. above."
- 6. Par IV.B, line 2: Insert "appropriate" between "the" and "level".
- 7. Par IV: Add a new sub-paragraph -
  - "D. These approval procedures supercede those contained in K-AM-03, Apollo Saturn Configuration Management Plan and KSC Apollo Program Directive #2 except for ECP's requiring Level I CCB action or those governed by Intercenter Agreements."

| (ennedy | Space   | Center    |
|---------|---------|-----------|
| LLO     | PROGRAM | DIRECTIVE |

| - דידיא רד | ٠ |
|------------|---|
| DATE       | i |

# KSC APOLLO PROGRAM DIRECTIVE NO. 50

TO:

Distribution

FROM

J. G. Shinkle, Apollo Program Manager

SUBJECT:

Policy for Reviewing and Approving Incorporation of Program Approved Hardware and Software Changesin Mission Space Vehicle and Support Equipment.

## I. PURPOSE

To establish a post-flight Readiness Test approval procedure for Mission Space Vehicle and Support Equipment Hardware and Software Changes prior to start of incorporation or use of the change. This directive is necessary to assure that the Director of Launch Operations or his designee, and that the KSC Apollo Program Manager or his designee are cognizant of, and approve of, all changes made to Mission Equipment after completion of the Flight Readiness Test for each Mission.

## SCOPE

This directive is applicable to all KSC and Contractor organizations involved in the checkout of Space Vehicles and Launch Complexes. Changes which come under the scope of this directive are as follows:

- A. Any projected hardware changes to Space Vehicles, functional GSE/ESE, MSOB ACE Control and Computer Rooms.
- B. Any projected change in RCA 110A Frograms, Launch Vehicle Flight Computer Program or the ACE Computer Program.
- C. Any previously approved hardware or software engineering change, which has not been installed and those which will not be installed prior to launch (as determined by the Director of Launch Operations), shall be re-submitted to the Level II Configuration Control Board for re-designation to another mission or cancellation. Changes of this type must have justification as to the reason(s) for non-installation per original Configuration Control Board Directive.

# III. EFFECTIVITY

This directive becomes effective immediately upon completion of the Flight Readiness Test.

### TV. PROCEDURE

A. Changes discussed in the Scope (paragraph II) shall be prepared in accordance with K-AM-03 Configuration Management Procedures utilizing ECP forms.

- B. The change package shall be submitted to the Director of Launch Operations for his approval. If approved, the package shall be submitted to the Level II Configuration Control Board.
- C. The KSC Apollo Program Manager or his designee will act as Chairman of the Level II Configuration Control Board during consideration of the change by the Level II Board.
- D. There of not produce & procede viene in all in K-AM-03, Aprile Saliene Communities Management Plants of the contract of the salienes of the contract of the

### Distribution:

| Deputy Director, AB 1 cy Apollo Program Manager, DA 1 cy Director, Quality Assurance, EA 1 cy Director, Administration, GA 1 cy Executive Staff, CA 1 cy Apollo Reliability & Ovality Assurance   | Director, AA                      | l cy      |        |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Apollo Program Manager, DA l cy Director, Quality Assurance, EA l cy Director, Administration, GA l cy Executive Staff, CA l cy Apollo Reliability & Ovality Assurance  | Deputy Director, AB               |           |        |
| Director, Quality Assurance, EA 1 cy Director, Administration, GA 1 cy Executive Staff, CA 1 cy Apollo Reliability & Quality Assurance  | Apollo Program Manager, DA        | _         |        |
| Director, Administration, GA 1 cy Executive Staff, CA 1 cy Apollo Reliability & Ovality Assumption  | Director, Quality Assurance, EA   |           |        |
| Executive Staff, CA 1 cy  | Director, Administration, GA      |           |        |
| Apollo Reliability & Ouplity Assumed to   | Executive Staff, CA               | l cv      |        |
| T TO THE TOTAL OF | Apollo Reliability & Quality Assu | rance. DD | 2 cys  |
| Program Control, DC 2 cvs   | Program Control, DC               | _         | 2 Cys  |
| Apollo Spacecraft Office, DJ 5 cvs  | Apollo Spacecraft Office, DJ      | -         |        |
| Orderations Support Office, DK 2 cvs  | Organiations Support Office, DK   | 2 cvs     |        |
| tor of Launch Operations, HA  | tor of Launch Operations, HA      |           | 10 cve |
| L. Stor of Design Engineering, MA   | L. Stor of Design Engineering, M  | Α         |        |
| Director of Technical Support. NA   | Director of Technical Support, NA |           | -      |
| Director of Installation Support, RA  | Director of Installation Support. | RA        |        |
| MSFC Resident Office, I-K-I/IB 5 cys  | MSFC Resident Office, I-K-I/IB    | _         | o cyo  |

4.4 () 31 g

The change packers shall are a formational design of the statement barsens about four fourse fours for the formation that is a few formations are the four formations are the four formations and formations are the four formations.

It is KSD April (regression as each of page will act as instant of the level  $\Pi$ ) (refiguration for each  $\eta$ ) of the consideration of the charge by the last of  $\Pi$  beard.

All the resource of the second of the second

a alasta etalea

And This could be an expensive to the country of th

at the second

TO' MA TE 8 OS HAM

RECEIVED